

परिशिष्ट ->

KPP

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 151 OF 2010

Maruti Lalji Wanjari and another

...Petitioners

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

Mr. V.D. Raut for the petitioners.

Mr. R.P. Behere, Addl. G.P., for respondent Nos. 1 and 4.

Mr. G.H. Kaluskar for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

CORAM: P.B. MAJMUDAR & A.A. SAYED, JJ.

DATE: FEBRUARY 03, 2011

P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition. However, this being a PIL, such permission cannot be granted. By way of this petition, the petitioners have prayed that there are so many illegal constructions which have come in the Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation area. The respondent No.2-Corporation is directed to take appropriate decision to remove the unauthorised constructions, if any, in accordance with law, after hearing the concerned persons in this behalf. Such action may be taken as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six months from today. Subject to what is stated above, the petition is disposed of. Notice discharged.

This order is to be read as read from Bombay High Court file No. 151 of 2010

P. B. MAJMUDAR, J.

Expert Advisor
Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation
Pimpri, Pune-411 018

A.A. SAYED, J.

ck

Exhibit "C"

HIGH COURT, BOM

18

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2011
IN

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 151 OF 2010

**Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation,
at Pimpri & anr.**

Applicants.

V/s

Shri Maruti Lalji Wanjani & ors.

Respondents.

Mr. G.H. Keluskar, advocate for applicants.

Mr. V.D. Raut, advocate for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Ms. A.D. Vhatkar, AGP for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

CORAM: MOHIT S. SHAH, C. J. AND
GIRISH GODBOLE, J
3 August 2011

P.C. :

1. Having heard the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 (original petitioners) as well as learned AGP for respondent Nos. 3 and 4, we **extend the time limit to comply with the directions contained in the order dated 3 February 2011 in PIL No. 151 of 2010 up to 31 March 2012.** Civil Application accordingly stands disposed off.

CHIEF JUSTICE

GIRISH GODBOLE, J

TRUE COPY

85/23/1811
Sector Office
High Court, Appellate wing
Bombay